Risk-Based Auditing:
A New Approach
opponents in a chess match, each seeing the playing field (in this case, the business) from his or her particular point of view.

In a risk-based audit, the auditor sits on the same side of the desk as the client. Now the client has a partner, an ally, since both are viewing the business from the same perspective.

The Limitations of the Traditional Approach

Now, let’s get specific about the business of construction. In a traditional audit, auditors typically make all of their audit decisions on the basis of materiality, which is a percentage (or a fraction of a percentage) of a contractor’s volume. The internal controls established by the contractor are not extensively evaluated for audit-efficiency purposes because the focus is on verifying the numbers presented in the financial statements. In the end, the auditor verifies what the contractor already knows – the company made money on some jobs and lost some on others. Then, the contractor gets a bill and the process repeats itself again next year.

In some situations, this is the only approach auditors can take because of the weak control environment present in the company. Generally, when weak internal controls exist, management has made a conscious decision that internal controls are not as important as other aspects of the business. However, a weak control environment is a glaring risk in and of itself, and is something which should be brought to the attention of management. While the traditional audit approach works within a weak control environment, a risk-based audit identifies these weaknesses so that management can take the proper steps to strengthen internal controls.

The Advantages of the Risk-Based Approach

While a risk-based audit focuses on the numbers, it also focuses on understanding the business activity that drives those numbers. It involves “getting into the heads” of key personnel and understanding how they think and what information they use to make their decisions. Here are some of the issues typically addressed in a risk-based audit:

• How is this particular construction business distinguished from its competition – what is its competitive edge?

• Strategically, what are the contractor’s short- and long-term goals?
What are the short- and long-term obstacles (such as labor or material shortages) the company must overcome to reach its goals, and how does it plan to do so?

What are the day-to-day operational problems encountered by key management?

How has management addressed any operational weaknesses?

What operational controls are in place to ensure that contracts are being executed, and that work is being performed in accordance with internal policies and procedures?

What internal controls are in place to ensure that the financial accounting and reporting function is without error or fraud?

How does management ensure the accuracy of the financial information used to make important decisions?

Historically, what personnel within the company are inexperienced or have performed at a lower level than their peers?

In other words, in a risk-based audit, it is incumbent upon the auditor to understand all the particulars of the construction business being audited.

The Steps in a Risk-Based Audit

Providing this new level of service requires new ways of preparing for and conducting the audit itself. When adopting the risk-based audit approach, we auditors find ourselves doing more up-front planning and preliminary analysis. We spend more time understanding the company’s operational controls, as well as the internal controls as they relate to the financial reporting function. From an auditor’s standpoint, this preliminary work is very rewarding; by the time we actually get into the fieldwork, we often know the answers to many of these questions before we ask.
Here are the three basic steps of a risk-based audit:

**Step 1: Question All Key Players**

As soon as possible, the auditor sits down with the President/CEO/owner – the person who can talk about the short- and long-term risks and goals for the company. We want to know:

- What is the biggest risk facing the company in the next year? The next 5 years?
- Are there plans to mitigate those risks?
- What problems has the business encountered this year?
- Are there any specific concerns which we should be aware of as we conduct this audit?
- What should we expect to see in the numbers?

In addition, we follow up on issues from the prior year’s audit. Then, we go down the line, talking to key members of management, starting with the CFO, asking similar questions relating to their area of responsibility. It can also be helpful at this stage to talk with the bonding agent and other professional support providers. Even the client’s attorney can provide useful information in the planning stage.

From an auditor’s standpoint, this initial process helps in formulating the audit procedures. At this stage, we are developing audit expectations and, hopefully, getting corroborating audit evidence to use when performing the actual audit. We’re also learning what’s new in the company and assessing how we can be of the most value to our client.

**Step 2: Document the Controls**

Next, the contractor’s internal and operational controls are documented in detail. For a construction company, the controls at the jobsite and the flow of information from the job-site to the office (and vice versa) can be as important as the internal controls within the office. At this stage in the process, we auditors are constantly asking, “Why?” Why is this policy in place? Why is that superintendent not held to the same deadlines as the others? What risk has management unknowingly accepted and why?

All of this questioning may seem very painful from management’s perspective. No one likes to talk about policies, procedures, and internal controls. However, internal controls aren’t limited to ensuring that the company has adequately segregated the duties in the accounting department; there are other controls occurring well outside the accounting area that must also be evaluated. In order to properly conduct a risk-based audit, we must have a thorough understanding of the
standard operating procedures of the business. By asking the hard questions, significant weaknesses can be identified. Some of the questions an auditor might ask include:

- What kind of monitoring or oversight is in place for the estimating process?

- Do estimators submit bids without a second review of their take-offs?

- How does management ensure that equipment charges are fairly allocated to the jobs where the equipment is being used?

- Is the company relinquishing profits on a T&M or cost-plus contract by not providing for equipment rental charges?

These types of questions, and the answers received, are critical to the success of the risk-based audit.
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*Step 3: Analyze the Information*

In the third phase of a risk-based audit, the financial information undergoes an initial analytical review, and audit procedures are developed. The goal here is to perform as much of the audit as possible using an *analytical* approach, instead of tests of details. If we, as your auditors, believe that internal controls are operating effectively, we may do a test of controls and limit some other detail tests. If risks and controls have been adequately identified and evaluated, we can then develop our analytical procedures based on expectations about what the financial information should indicate.

Because we have already made inquiries and accumulated information from the key members of management, we can base our analytical procedures on this information. If the numbers don’t meet our expectations, we would then have an obligation to perform further procedures.

As an example, the job schedule for standard contractors is a key piece of information and one
that we need early in the audit for risk analysis. In fact, we may have this information in front of us before we do Steps 1 and 2 above. No matter what our analysis of risk in the preliminary stages of the audit reveals, estimates of management (which are in the job schedule) always become the focal point when we talk about risk. So, the job schedule is analyzed separately to determine which jobs represent the most risk. The following are some of the factors used in making these decisions:

- Percent complete at balance sheet date
- Size of contract
- Type of contract (fixed-price, T&M, cost-plus)
- Experience of contractor in type of contract
- Key personnel on contract (superintendent, project manager)
- Estimated profit margin
- Amount of labor costs in contract
- Presence of contract stipulations, such as penalties for late completion
- Presence of overruns or other problems noted

Our analytical procedures usually allow us to reduce the more time-consuming detail tests to a minimum. Since analytical procedures provide more valuable audit evidence than many other audit procedures, we are not only being more effective, we are also being more efficient. The risk-based audit is truly an example of a win-win situation – something you’re not likely to hear being said about a traditional audit!

**Conclusion**

A properly performed risk-based audit allows everyone to walk away at the end of the process feeling good about what was accomplished. The auditors feel they have provided a service of real value to the client. The clients feel (and rightly so) that they have received valuable information they can use to strengthen their companies. Ultimately, I believe that risk-based auditing can transform the entire audit process into a rewarding experience for all parties.
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